	Case 2:24-cv-02088-DC-JDP Docume	ent 9 Filed 04/07/25	Page 1 of 2	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	CHAD ANDRE CHRISTENSEN,	No. 2:24-cv-02088-	-DC-JDP (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION (Doc. No. 8)	
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT,			
15	Defendant.	(Doc. No. 8)		
16 17	Plaintiff Chad Andre Christensen is a county jail inmate appearing <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma</i>			
18	pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred			
19	to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
20	On January 24, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations			
21	recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to state a			
22	cognizable claim, failure to prosecute this action, and failure to comply with court orders. (Doc.			
23	No. 8.) Specifically, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the court's order dated November 8, 2024,			
24	in which Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed due to his failure to state a cognizable claim and			
25	Plaintiff was directed to file a first amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. No. 5.) Plaintiff			
26	did not thereafter file a first amended complaint. The pending findings and recommendations			
27	were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within			
28	fourteen (14) days after service. (Doc. No. 8 at 3.) To date, no objections to the findings and			
	1			

Case 2:24-cv-02088-DC-JDP Document 9 Filed 04/07/25 Page 2 of 2 1 recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. 2 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 3 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 4 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 5 Accordingly: 6 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 24, 2025 (Doc. No. 8) are 7 ADOPTED in full; 2. 8 This action is DISMISSED, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to state a 9 cognizable claim, failure to prosecute this action, and failure to comply with a court order; and 10 11 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 Dated: **April 6, 2025** 15 Dena Coggins 16 United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28